UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/560,774	12/14/2005	Fabien Schweighoffer	BJS-3665-167	5165
23117 NIXON & VAN	7590 07/13/200 NDERHYE, PC	EXAMINER		
901 NORTH G	LEBE ROAD, 11TH F	JAVANMARD, SAHAR		
ARLINGTON, VA 22203			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		1617		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/13/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/560,774	SCHWEIGHOFFER ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		

	SAHAR JAVANMARD	1617				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>22 June 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the r (3) a Request			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ac no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	on.			
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (l MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f		FIRST REPLY WAS FI	LED WITHIN TWO			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extra under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sloset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount nortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl	iance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two month	s of the date of			
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	ut prior to the date of filing a brief,	will not be entered be	cause			
(a) ☑ They raise new issues that would require further con						
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	•					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett	er form for appeal by materially red	ducing or simplifying t	he issues for			
appeal; and/or	arraga and in a number of finally rais	sated alaima				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number or finally reje	cteu ciaims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1 Soo attached Notice of Non Co.	mpliant Amondment (DTOL 324)			
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 		mpilant Amendment (FTOL-324).			
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s). Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed the proposed or amended the propo		imely filed amendmen	at canceling the			
non-allowable claim(s).	owabie ii submitted iii a separate, i	inlery filed afficilation	it canceling the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of			
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	before or on the date of filing a No	ntice of Anneal will not	he entered			
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	ıl and/or appellant fail	s to provide a			
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 0. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.						
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		,				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:			
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (I13. ☒ Other: <u>REFERENCES OF IDS 1/23/09 CONSIDERED</u>.	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
(SDEENI DADMANABHAN)						
/SREENI PADMANABHAN/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1617	/S. J./					
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1617 Examiner, Art Unit 1617						

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant contends that "besides cognitive deficits, AD symptoms include, for instance, behavioural disorganization, disability to walk, incontinence, psychiatric complications or metabolic problems. Accordingly, treating AD may designate addressing any `one of the above symptoms, not necessarily perceptive cognition. A substantial number of drugs presently used for treating AD are anti-depressants and anti-psychotics, which have no effect on perceptive cognition." Applicant's arguments are not persuasive. Ikhlef teaches the same drug, etazolate, to treat the same disease, Alheimer's disease. Thus although the reference is silent on which symptom is treated, the administration of etazolate as taught by Ikhlef would necessarily treat the cognitive symptoms of the disorder. "[T]he discovery of a previously unappreciated property of a prior art composition, or of a scientific explanation for the prior art's functioning, does not render the old composition patentably new to the discoverer." Atlas Powder Co. v. Ireco Inc., 190 F.3d 1342, 1347, 51 USPQ2d 1943, 1947 (Fed. Cir. 1999). Thus the claiming of a new use, new function or unknown property which is inherently present in the prior art does not necessarily make the claim patentable. In re Best, 562 F.2d 1252, 1254, 195 USPQ 430, 433 (CCPA 1977).